

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Addease COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS PO Box 1430 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.webjo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/578,694	06/01/2007	Robert Lindsay	1421-171 PCT/US	3667
23869 HOFFMANN	7590 09/08/201 & BARON, LLP	EXAMINER		
6900 JERICHO	TURNPIKE	DEMEREE, CHRISTOPHER R		
SYOSSET, NY	7 11791		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3782	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			09/08/2010	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

## **Advisory Action** Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
10/578,694		LINDSAY, ROBERT	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	CHRISTOPHER DEMEREE	3782	

	CHRISTOPHER DEMEREE	3782					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the	correspondence add	ress				
THE REPLY FILED 10 August 2010 FAILS TO PLACE THIS A	PPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR	ALLOWANCE.					
<ol> <li>M The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on application, applicant must timely file one of the following application in condition for allowance, (2) a Notice of App for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 of periods:</li> </ol>	the same day as filing a Notice of replies: (1) an amendment, affidavi eal (with appeal fee) in compliance	Appeal. To avoid abar t, or other evidence, v with 37 CFR 41.31; or	hich places the (3) a Request				
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing							
b) Me period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire! Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(	ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE	g date of the final rejection	n.				
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been flied is the date for purposes of determining the period of ex under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL	tension and the corresponding amount shortened statutory period for reply origing than three months after the mailing date	of the fee. The appropri- inally set in the final Office	ate extension fee e action; or (2) as				
The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed water MENDMENTS.	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the					
<ol> <li>The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, I</li> <li>They raise new issues that would require further control to the proposed of the proposed in the proposed of the proposed</li></ol>	nsideration and/or search (see NO) w);	TE below);					
<ul><li>(c) They are not deemed to place the application in bet appeal; and/or</li></ul>	ter form for appeal by materially red	ducing or simplifying t	ne issues for				
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a	corresponding number of finally reje	ected claims.					
NOTE: See Continuation Sheet. (See 37 CFR 1.1	16 and 41.33(a)).						
<ol> <li>The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1.</li> </ol>		mpliant Amendment (	PTOL-324).				
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):							
<ol> <li>Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s).</li> </ol>	lowable if submitted in a separate, t	timely filed amendmer	nt canceling the				
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is prov. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:		I be entered and an e	xplanation of				
Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to:							
Claim(s) rejected:							
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:  AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE							
B. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, bu	t before or on the date of filing a No	otice of Anneal will no	he entered				
because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).	d sufficient reasons why the affidavi	it or other evidence is	necessary and				
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary</li> </ol>	vercome all rejections under appea	al and/or appellant fail	s to provide a				
0. 🔲 The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation of the status of the claims after entry is below or attached.							
REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER  11. ☐ The request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because:							
<ol> <li>Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).</li> <li>Other:</li> </ol>	(PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)						
/Nathan J. Newhouse/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 3782	/Christopher Demeree/ Examiner, Art Unit 3782						

Continuation of 3. NOTE: The proposed amendments raise the new issue with respect to main panels are foldably connected at either end to a central portion of a curved transverse edge of a respective end flap. Examiner notes, however, that the claim does not limit the foldable connection to only that central point; therefore, the interpretation of the prior art set forth in the Final Rejection would still read on the proposed claim amendments.